β -Amyrin Biosynthesis: Promiscuity for Steric Bulk at Position 23 in the Oxidosqualene Substrate and the Significance of Hydrophobic Interaction between the Methyl Group at Position 30 and the Binding Site

Ikki Kaneko and Tsutomu Hoshino*

Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture and Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Ikarashi 2-8050, Nishi-ku, Niigata, Japan 950-2181

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: To examine how the sterics at the 23 position of (3S)-2,3-oxidosqualene 1 influence the polycyclization cascade in β -amyrin biosynthesis, substrate analogues substituted with an ethyl group (10, 11), a hydrogen atom (12, 13), or a propyl residue (14) at the 23 position were incubated with β -amyrin synthase. The bulkier ethyl group was accepted as a substrate, leading to formation of the β -amyrin skeleton (42, 43) without truncation of the multiple cyclization reactions. Analogue 13, possessing a hydrogen atom and an ethyl group at the 23*E* and 23*Z* positions, respectively, was also converted into the β -amyrin skeleton 45. However, the analogue lacking an ethyl group at the 23*E* position of the analogue with a propyl substituent at the *Z* position (14) was poor. Analogue 15 possessing CH₂OH at the 23*E* position afforded a new compound 47 in a high yield as a result of trapping of the final oleanyl cation. Conversely, 16 with 23*Z*-CH₂OH afforded novel compounds 48–50 in low yields, which resulted from the intermediary dammarenyl and baccharenyl cations. Therefore, the hydrophobic interaction between the 23*Z*-alkyl group and its binding site (possibly via CH/ π interaction) is critical for adopting the correct chair-chair-boat-boat conformation and for the full cyclization cascade.

INTRODUCTION

The polycyclization cascades of squalene and (3S)-2,3oxidosqualene 1 have been attractive to organic chemists and biochemists for more than 70 years since Ruzicka and coworkers proposed the "biogenetic isoprene rule".¹ The reactions proceed with complete regiospecificity and stereospecificity to yield sterols and triterpenes²⁻⁷ with remarkable structural diversity; more than 100 different carbon frameworks that exhibit important biological activities can be produced.⁸ β -Amyrin 2 consists of a pentacyclic scaffold with eight chiral centers and is widely distributed among plants. Recently, we succeeded in the complete purification of β -amyrin synthase from Euphorbia tirucalli (EtAS) and in characterizing its enzymatic properties.⁹ Substrate 1 is folded into a chairchair-chair-boat-boat conformation by β -amyrin synthase (Scheme 1).^{1,10–15} Proton attack on the epoxide ring initiates the polycyclization reaction to yield the dammarenyl cation 3 with the 6/6/6/5-fused A/B/C/D tetracyclic ring system, which undergoes ring expansion to create the 6/6/6/6-fused tetracyclic baccharenyl cation 4. Further cyclization occurs to give the 6/6/6/6/5-fused pentacyclic lupanyl cation 5, which undergoes further ring expansion to provide the 6/6/6/6/6-fused pentacyclic oleanyl cation 6. Deprotonation of the H-12 α of 6 confers the final product 2. The enzymatic reactions of substrate analogues have provided deep insights into how the polycyclization reaction is affected by specific modifications, such as alteration of the folding conformation, leading to different stereochemistry, truncation of the ring-forming cascade, or different cation-quenching modes. Recently, we reported the effect of steric bulk at the C-19 and C-23 positions of 1 on the cyclization cascade.^{16,17} In addition to

 Received:
 May 31, 2016

 Published:
 July 15, 2016

Scheme 1. Cyclization Pathway of (3S)-2,3-Oxidosqualene 1 into β -Amyrin 2^a



^{*a*}The Me-24 and Me-30 groups of compound 1 are regiospecifically converted into the Me-30 and Me-29 groups of compound 2, respectively. The filled circle shows the destiny of the C-23 atom of compound 1 during the formation of compound 2; atom C-23 of compound 1 is transformed into atom C-20 of compound 2.

bisnoroxidosqualene 7, we further examined the cyclization reactions of nor-analogues 8 and 9, which lack the methyl-24 and methyl-30 groups, respectively (Figure 1).¹⁷ We discovered



Figure 1. Structures of substrate 1 and its analogues 7–16 and β -amyrin 2.

that the Me-30 of **1** is critical to accurately folding **1** into a chair–chair–chair–boat–boat structure that leads to the pentacyclic scaffold **2**. Me-24 and Me-30 of **1** were regiospecifically converted into Me-30 and Me-29 of **2**, respectively, during β -amyrin biosynthesis.¹⁷

In this paper, we describe how the sterics at position 23 of 1 influence the outcome of the polycyclization reaction. (23*E*)-Ethyloxidosqualene 10 and (23*Z*)-ethyloxidosqualene 11 with the bulkier ethyl group were accepted as a substrate in a considerably high yield, leading to formation of the β -amyrin

skeleton without truncation of the multiple cyclization reactions. Comparing the cyclization yields between (23E)ethyl-30-noroxidosqualene 12 and (23Z)-ethyl-24-noroxidosqualene 13 clearly demonstrated that the absence of the Zconfigured alkyl group resulted in nearly no cyclization. The larger propyl-substituted analogue 14 afforded a substantially decreased conversion yield, even though the alkyl group is Zconfigured in 14. These results indicate that a methyl group is the appropriate steric bulk and that the alkyl group must be Zconfigured. Little difference in the cyclization yields between 11 and 13 further suggests that the role of the E-Me is minimal. Furthermore, we report here that substrate 15 with E-CH₂OH (a hydrophilic group) and Z-Me groups at the 23 position produced only the oleanyl cation 6-trapped product 47. By contrast, substrate 16 with a Z-CH₂OH group and E-Me gave three products: the dammarenyl cation 3-trapped products 48 and 49, and the baccharenyl cation 4-trapped product 50. These results indicate that Z-Me at the terminus strongly associates with the binding site possibly via CH/π interaction, leading to the ordered architecture of the chair-chairboat-boat conformation, which further leads to the formation of final cation 6. A lack of Z-Me (16) resulted in a disordered folding conformation; thus, trapping of the prematurely cyclized cations 3 and 4 occurred.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Syntheses of Analogues 10–16. Scheme 2 outlines the overall synthetic scheme. The Wittig reagents 18-21 used for the preparation of these analogues are shown in Scheme 2A. The starting material 17 with a C₂₇-aldehyde was subjected to Wittig reactions using each of the phosphorus ylides obtained by base treatment. Aldehyde 17 was prepared from squalene.¹⁷ Scheme 2B depicts the subsequent reaction steps. Synthetic intermediates 22 and 23 were obtained from 18 using NaH as a base; 24 and 25 were obtained from 19 in the presence of *n*-

Scheme 2. (A) Wittig Reagents Used in This Study and (B) Synthetic Schemes of Analogues 10-16 from 17^a

(A) Wittig reagents used for the preparation of substrate analogs 10-16.



^aReagents: NBS, N-bromosuccinimide; DIBAL-H, diisobutylaluminum hydride; MsCl, methanesulfonyl chloride; LiBEt₃H, lithium triethylborohydride (super hydride).

BuLi and NaN(SiMe₃)₂, respectively, where the latter base promoted selective formation of the Z-isomer. Product 26 was obtained from 20 using NaN(SiMe₃)₂; 27 and 28 were obtained from 21 using NaH as base, yielding a mixture of Eand Z-isomers. In cases where a mixture of E- and Z-isomers was produced, separation of the isomers was attained using SiO₂ column chromatography. Next, 22-28 were converted to the bromohydrin derivatives 29-35 through a reaction with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) in a H₂O/THF solution. The ethyl ester groups of 29, 30, 34, and 35 were reduced by DIBAL-H reagents to give the corresponding alcohols 36-39. Alcohols 36 and 37 were converted into the corresponding mesylates 40 and 41, respectively. The mesylates were treated with K₂CO₃ to give the corresponding epoxides, which were then demesylated using the hydride reagent LiBEt₃H, yielding the desired analogues 10 and 11. The epoxide analogues 12-14 were prepared from 31-33, respectively, by treatment with K_2CO_3 . Using a similar treatment, the desired analogues 15 and 16 were obtained from 38 and 39, respectively.

Incubation of Substrates 10–16 with β -Amyrin Synthase EtAS and Product Profiles. A reaction mixture (2.5 mL, pH 7.4, 100 mM potassium buffer) consisting of TritonX-100 (0.1%, w/v), 1 [200 μ g of a (3*R*,*S*)-racemic mixture], BSA (1 mg/mL), DTT (1 mM), and purified Histagged EtAS (5 μ g) was incubated at 30 °C for 12 h.⁹ To this reaction mixture was added 7.5 mL of 15% KOH/MeOH, and the resulting mixture was heated to 70–80 °C for 30 min. The lipophilic materials were extracted with hexane, and after removing TritonX-100 included in the extract by a SiO₂ column with hexane/EtOAc (100:10), the hexane extract was evaporated to dryness. Next, 150 μ L of hexane was added to the residue, and 1.0 μ L of the solution was subjected to GC analysis.

Product profiles are shown in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). Substrates 10, 11, and 13 afforded substantial amounts of products 42, 43, and 45, respectively (Figure S3B,C,E). Figure 2 indicates the relative cyclization yields of analogues 10-16 compared to that of 1. The cyclization yields of (3S)-10, 11, and 13 were ca. 40-50% in a comparison to that (100%) estimated from genuine substrate (3S)-1 (Figure 2). By contrast, the conversion yield of substrate 12 appeared null (Figure S3D), although a negligible amount of product 44 was detected from the product fraction enriched by partial purification with a SiO₂ column. Other products (peaks A-E and G) were also detected in negligibly small amounts (see Figure S7.1.1 in Supporting Information). This result suggests that many products were produced from 12, although the quantity of each product was extremely small. A non-negligible amount of product 46 [ca. 4% of the incubation of (3S)-1] was indicated in the GC trace from the incubation of 14 (Figure S3F). These findings indicate that only one product was detected in a substantial amount from the experiments with 10,



Figure 2. Relative cyclization yields of substrate analogues 10-16 against that of 1. Error bars represent the deviation among three experiments.

11, 13, and 14, whereas little was detected for substrate 12. Substrates 10-14 are lipophilic; by contrast, substrates 15 and 16 have a hydrophilic hydroxyl group. From the incubation mixture of 15, only one product, 47, was obtained in high yield (88% of that of substrate 1), whereas three products—48, 49, and 50-were detected from the reaction with 16 in a total yield of ca. 19% of that of (3S)-1. The 48/49/50 product ratio was 2.9:2.1:1.

Structural Determinations of the Enzymatic Products **42–46.** EIMS of β -amyrin 2 is shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S4.1). The characteristic fragment ions, m/z 218 (100%) and 203 (60%), were observed; the fragment structures are depicted in Figure S4.2.^{13,18,19} As shown in Figure S5.1, a fragment pattern similar to 2 was observed in the EIMS of product 42: m/z 232 (100%), 217 (25%), 203 (75%), and 440 (M⁺, 3%), indicating that 42 possesses the β -amyrin scaffold. The proposed EIMS fragment structures are shown in Figure S5.2. To isolate 42 in an amount sufficient for structural determination by NMR, we incubated 5 mg of substrate 10 with 1.45 mg of EtAS for 24 h and purified the product by SiO₂ column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc (100:10) as the eluent, which afforded pure 42 (2.1 mg, isolation yield). The NMR spectra, including ¹H, ¹³C, ¹H–¹H COSY, HOHAHA, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra, are shown in the

Supporting Information (Figures S5.3-S5.9). DEPT 45, 90, and 135 spectra were also measured to differentiate methyl, methylene, methine, and guaternary carbons. One double bond was observed: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.17 ppm (br s, 1H) in the ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 121.7 ppm (d), 145.3 ppm (s) in ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃). In substrate 10, five olefinic Me groups are involved: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 9H), 1.81 (s, 3H). Furthermore, two singlet Me groups ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.23, s, 3H; 1.27, s, 3H for Me-1 and Me-25) were found on the epoxide ring, and one triplet Me ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.073, t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H for Me-31) exists on the ethyl group (23E-Et) in 10. Product 42 had no olefinic methyl group, and clear HMBC cross-peaks were observed between Me-31 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.764, t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) and C-20 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 33.3, s), between Me-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.781, s, 3H) and C-20 and between H-30 $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.28, m, 1H; 1.35, m, 1H) and C-20. These findings

analyses of the COSY and HOHAHA spectra (Figures S5.5 and S5.6), as shown in Figure 3 and Figure S5.10. Definitive NOE data for H-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.89, m, 1H)/H-30 clearly indicated that the stereochemistry at C-20 was in the S-configuration. This finding leads to an important conclusion that E-Et and Z-Me at C-23 of 10 are stereospecifically directed toward β and α -orientation at the C-20 position, respectively. This conclusion is in a good agreement with our previous report

indicate that full cyclization occurred. The detailed HMBC

analyses (Figure S5.10) further allowed us to propose a β amyrin skeleton for product 42. Complete assignments of the

chemical shifts were attained by detailed analyses of the HMBC

contours (Figure S5.9) from the singlet Me groups and by

describing the cyclization reaction of 24-noroxidosqualene 8;¹⁷ Me-30 of 8 is delivered to Me-29 (α -arrangement) of the β amyrin skeleton, whereas H-24 is converted into the Me-30 position (β -orientation). Unambiguous NOEs, such as Me-28/ H-18, Me-27/H-9, H-5/H-9, and H-3/H-5, made the overall stereochemistry of product 42 clear, as depicted in Figure 3.

The EIMS fission pattern of product 43 (Figure S6.1) is almost identical to that of product 42, suggesting that product 43 also has the β -amyrin core. However, the retention time in the GC trace (Figure S3) was slightly different, suggesting that 43 and 42 may be diastereomers. Substrate 11 (8 mg) was incubated in the same manner as 10, and product 43 was purified using SiO₂ column chromatography, yielding 2.3 mg in



Figure 3. Two-dimensional NMR analyses for proposing the structure of product 42 dissolved in CDCl₃.

Article



Figure 4. Important 2D NMR data for proposing the structure of product 43 dissolved in CDCl₃.



Figure 5. Proposed structures of the products, which were generated from 12 in a negligible amount, and the 2D NMR analyses for product 44 acetate dissolved in C_6D_6 .

a pure state. The NMR spectra of product 43 dissolved in CDCl₃ are shown in Figures S6.2–S6.8. One olefinic proton was observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.18 ppm (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H) in the ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CDCl₃), and two sp² carbons assigned for one double bond were observed at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 121.7 ppm (d) and 145.3 ppm (s) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (100 MHz, CDCl₃). All the NMR data, including the 2D NMR spectra of 43, further supported the assignment of the β -amyrin structure for 43. However, a strong NOE was observed between H-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.97, m, 1H) and β -oriented Me-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.827, s, 3H) but not between H-18 and H-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.17, q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H). These results definitively demonstrate that Me-30 is β -oriented

and that the Et group (CH₂-29 and Me-31) is α -oriented (Figure 4). Thus, the stereochemistry at C-20 was determined to be *R*-configuration, which is opposite that of 42, demonstrating that substrate 11 was also subjected to a cyclization pathway identical to that of 10 (see Scheme 1). That is, *E*-Me and *Z*-Et of 11 were converted in a stereospecific fashion into the Me-30 position (β -orientation) and into the Me-29 position (α -orientation) of 2, respectively. The results of the incubation of 10 and 11 with the enzyme indicate that the larger steric bulk of the Et group could also be accepted at the recognition sites, irrespective of being in the *E*- or *Z*-configuration. The cyclization yields decreased compared to

that of 1 (Figure 2), but substantial amounts of β -amyrin homologues were produced.

Substrate 12 underwent almost no conversion (see Figure 2 and Figures S3D and S7.1.1). Removing unreacted substrate 12 using SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:5) led to detection of the enzymatic product by GC/MS. This product-enriched fraction was acetylated with Ac₂O/Py. Negligible amounts of the acetylated form of compounds A-G were observed, as shown in Figure S7.1.1. The EIMS spectra of A-G acetates were compared to those of products obtained from the incubation of 30-noroxidosqualene 9_{1}^{17} which are shown in the Supporting Information of ref 17. Identification of peaks A and C was unsuccessful because EIMS fission patterns similar to those of the products from 9 could not be found. The retention times of the products were shorter than that of peak F (pentacyclic 44), which suggests that the peaks are likely products of premature termination of the cyclization reaction. The EIMS of peak B was very similar to that of 27nordammara-20(21),24-diene-3 β -ol.¹⁷ Peak D shows the characteristic ions m/z 393 (100%) and 453 (100%). This fragment pattern is very similar to that of 27-norbutyrospermol acetate [m/z 379 (100%) and 439 (98%)];¹⁷ however, the mass unit increment observed for peak D represents CH₂ $(m/z \ 14)$, suggesting that the structure of peak D would be a 27norbutyrospermol homologue, as shown in Figure 5 (see also Figure S7.1.1). The difference of the EIMS spectra between peaks D and E was slight, indicating that compounds D and E share the same carbocyclic skeleton; the retention time of the 20R-form (butyrospermol) is known to be shorter than that of the 20S-form (tirucalladiene). 17 Thus, compound E would be the homologue of 27-nortirucalla-7(8),24-diene-3 β -ol. Peak F exhibited the fragment ions $(m/z \ 203 \ and \ 218)$ characteristic of the β -amyrin core. The fragment ion at m/z 189 likely corresponds to the structural unit shown in Figure S7.1.2.^{18,19} Purification by HPLC (normal-phase, hexane/THF = 100:0.5) led to the successful isolation of the acetate of peak F but in a very small yield (0.4 mg from 60 mg of 12). Detailed NMR analyses (Figures S7.2-S7.9) further revealed that peak F was a β -amyrin analogue (Figure 5). Complete assignments of the NMR data are shown in Figure S7.9. A clear NOE for H-29/H-18 indicates that the β -Et and α -H were substituted at the C-20 position (product 44). Peak G shows the characteristic ions, such as m/z 177, 189, and 204, that correspond to increments of a CH₂ unit $(m/z \ 14)$ to $m/z \ 163$, 175, and 190 observed in the EIMS of 29-norgermanicol.¹⁷ Thus, careful inspection of the EIMS spectra of products from 9 and 12 indicate that products B, D, E, F, and G are homoderivatives (C1 appendages, Et substituent) of 27-nordammara-20(21),24diene-3 β -ol, 27-norbutyrospermol (uph-7(8)-24-diene-3 β -ol), 27-nortirucalla-7(8)-24-diene-3 β -ol, 29-nor- β -amyrin, and 29norgermanicol, respectively. These predicted structures and the 2D NMR analyses for 44 (peak F) are depicted in Figure 5.

By contrast, substrate 13 (the Z-isomer of 12) was wellconverted into product (yield: ca. 38%; see Figure 2). The EIMS spectrum of the acetate of product 45 (Figure S8.1.2) was almost identical to that of the acetate of product 44; thus, the carbocyclic core of 45 is likely the same as that of 44. Interpretation of the major fragment ions are shown in Figures S7.1.2 and S8.1.3.^{18,19} Product 45 was roughly purified using SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:10), and the fraction including 45 was subsequently acetylated with Ac₂O/Py. Pure 45 acetate was obtained using normal-phase HPLC (hexane/THF = 100:0.3). The NMR spectra (400 MHz for ¹H, 100 MHz for ¹³C NMR, C_6D_6) are shown in Figures S8.2–S8.8. The analyses of the NMR data are shown in Figure 6 (see also Figure S8.9); these data further indicate that **45** has



Figure 6. Two-dimensional NMR analyses for proposing the structure of product 45 dissolved in C_6D_6 .

a β -amyrin scaffold similar to that of 44. However, the NOESY spectrum demonstrated definitively the following NOEs: H-18/ Me-28 and H-18/H-20 (H-18: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.05, dd, J = 12.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H; H-20: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.20, m, 1H; Me-28: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10, s, 3H). These findings indicated a 20R-configuration with regard to the arrangement of the β -H and the α -Et at C-20. No other products were found at detectable concentrations. Thus, the Ering closure occurs in a stereospecific fashion, despite lacking Me-24 and despite Me-30 being replaced by a larger Et (C_1 appendage) at the C-23 position of 1. The cyclization yield of 13 was approximately the same as that of 11 (Figure 2), further indicating that the substitution of the larger steric bulk (Et group) or the smaller size (H atom) at the 23E position of 1 had little effect on the cyclization pathway and yield. By contrast, substitution with a hydrogen atom at the 23Z position (comparison of 12 with 13) notably affected the cyclization pathway and yield, strongly indicating that the presence of an alkyl group at the Z position is essential for the normal polycyclization cascade, as we demonstrated in a previous paper.¹⁷

To examine how the alkyl chain length at the Z position affects acceptance of a molecule as a suitable substrate, we incubated substrate 14 (Z-Pr group and E-H atom) with EtAS. Figure 2 shows that a small amount of product 46 was produced in ca. 4% yield (see also Figure S3F). The EIMS spectrum of this peak suggests that product 46 also has the β amyrin scaffold; the fragment structures corresponding to the characteristic ions m/z 232 and 217 are shown in Figure S9.2. Repeated incubation experiments $(3\times)$ with 14 (10 mg) and purification by SiO₂ column chromatography afforded 0.3 mg of 46. Pure 46 was obtained by normal-phase HPLC (hexane/ THF = 100:3). The corresponding NMR spectra (600 MHz, CDCl₃) are shown in Figures S9.3–S9.9. A propyl group was observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.863 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, Me-31), 1.30 (m, 2H, H-30) and 1.37 (m, 2H, H-29). A clear NOE was observed between H-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79, m, 1H) and H-20, indicating the orientation was 20β -H and 20α -Pr (Figure S9.10). Thus, this enzymatic product 46 was produced in a stereospecific manner, as shown in Scheme 1. Notably, the cyclization yield of 14 (ca. 4%) was substantially decreased compared to that of 13 (ca. 38%), indicating that the EtAS enzyme did not accept the propyl group at the 23 position as well as it accepted the normal substrate (see Figure 2 and Figure S3F).

Interestingly, all the enzymatic products of substrates 10–14, except that of substrate 12, possessed a β -amyrin skeleton. A current model that can plausibly explain these results is proposed as follows: The alkyl group at the 23Z position plays a critical role in folding the substrate into an ordered chairchair-chair-boat-boat conformation. Increasing the steric bulk at the 23Z position would place the epoxide ring of the substrates in a location somewhat distant from the DCTA motif, which is essential for initiating the polycyclization reaction by providing an acidic proton to activate the epoxide ring. A substituent with a larger steric size (Me \rightarrow Et \rightarrow Pr) at the 23Z position could move the epoxide ring farther from the DCTA motif inside the enzyme cavity. This change can explain the decrease in cyclization yields with increasing steric volume $(100\% \rightarrow 40\% \rightarrow 4\%)$; see Figure 2); however, the organized conformation may have still been retained, thereby enabling formation of the β -amyrin carbocyclic skeleton. Substrate 12, which is substituted with a hydrogen atom at the 23Z position and an Et group at the 23E position, exhibited substantially decreased activity (almost no activity), whereas substrate 13 possessing an Et group at the 23Z position underwent the normal cyclization in a considerably high yield (ca. 38%). This difference in reactivity suggests that the hydrophobic interaction occurs between the Z-alkyl group (Me or Et group) and its recognition site of the cyclase and further indicates that the hydrophobic interaction with an alkyl group in the *Z* and not the *E* position is critical to creating the normal folding architecture. This model is consistent with the results of the comparative study between the reactions of 8 and 9 reported in our previous paper.¹⁷ This finding is further supported by the fact that the reaction yields for substrates 11 and 13 were similar (40% for 11; 38% for 13). Thus, the contributions of hydrophobic interactions with the 23E-alkyl group are less important than the interaction with the 23Z-alkyl group. The importance of this hydrophobic interaction between the 23Z-alkyl group and its binding site was further established by the experiments described below involving substrates 15 and 16, which have a hydrophilic CH_2OH group.

Structures of Products 47–50 from 15 and 16 and the Cyclization Mechanism. The hexane extract obtained from the incubation mixture of 15 (10 mg) with EtAS (1.12 mg) was subjected to normal HPLC (hexane/THF = 100:2), yielding ca. 3.5 mg of pure 47. Incubation of 16 (10 mg) under the same conditions as 15 gave crude product mixtures (2.3 mg), which were acetylated with Ac_2O/Py . The resulting acetate mixtures were subjected to normal-phase HPLC (hexane/THF = 100:0.8), where the acetylated products were eluted in the order 50 \rightarrow 48 \rightarrow 49. The isolation yields of 48–50 were 0.7, 0.7, and 0.4 mg, respectively.

No olefinic proton and no sp² carbon were observed in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 47 dissolved in CDCl₃ (Figures S10.2 and S10.3), suggesting that substrate **15** underwent the complete cyclication reaction by EtAS. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure S10.8), Me-27 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.914, s, 3H) showed correlations with C-15 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 27.2, t) and C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 38.1, d), and Me-28 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.941, s, 3H) showed cross-peaks for C-16 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 36.2, t) and C-18 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 88.2, s). The chemical shift of C-18 indicates that an oxygen atom is connected to C-18. Furthermore, both H-13 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.12, dd, J = 12.4 and 2.8 Hz, 1H) and one of H₂-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.61, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H) showed a definitive HMBC cross-peak

for C-18, suggesting that a THF ring is involved in 47. One H_2 -30 had a clear HMBC correlation with C-18, but the other exhibited no HMBC correlation (I = 8 Hz was used for)detecting the long-range coupling constant). A three-bond C-H coupling constant follows a Karplus-type relationship. The dihedral angles for $H_A - C(30) - O - C(18)$ and $H_B - C(30) - C(18)$ O-C(18) are estimated to be 125 and 115°, respectively, from the corresponding Chem3D models (Figure S10.10), indicating that H_A has a clear HMBC correlation with C-18. The definitive NOEs between Me-27 and H₂-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.46, m, 1H; 1.62, m, 1H) indicate that the oxygen atom of the THF ring is β oriented, and a clear NOE was observed for Me-29/H_B-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.28, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); thus, Me-29 is determined to be in an α -arrangement. Additional detailed 2D NMR analyses allowed us to propose the whole structure of 47, as shown in Figure 7 (see also Figure S10.9).



Figure 7. Two-dimensional NMR analyses for proposing the structure of product 47 dissolved in CDCl₃.

The ¹H (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR spectra (100 MHz) of product 48 acetate dissolved in C6D6 showed one olefinic proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.57, br s) and one double bond ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 125.3, d; 136.8, s). These results suggest that the polycyclization reaction of 16 terminated at the dammarenyl tetracyclic skeleton. Three carbons connected to an oxygen atom were observed ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 80.6, d, C-3; 80.2, s, C-20; 64.7, t, C-27). In the HSQC spectrum, H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.83, dd, J = 11.6, 2.4 Hz) was correlated with C-3. Me-21 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.29, s, 3H) had a clear HMBC cross-peak for C-20 and C-17 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.7, d). Me-26 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.61, br s, 3H) exhibited strong HMBC correlations with C-27, C-25 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 136.8, s), and C-24 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 125.3, d). In the HOHAHA spectrum, the olefinic proton H-24 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.61, br s, 1H) definitively showed the following $^{1}\text{H}-^{1}\text{H}$ network: H-24/H-27 (δ_{H} 3.91, br d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H; 4.24, br d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H)/Me-26 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.61, br s, 3H)/H-23 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.43, m, 1H; 2.17, m, 1H)/H-22 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.84, m, 1H; 1.72, m, 1H), indicating that a seven-membered ether ring (oxepane core) is involved in 48. The characteristic fragment ion m/z125 in the EIMS (Figure S11.1) further supported the presence of an oxepane moiety (Figure 8). A definitive NOE was observed between Me-30 and H-17 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.25, m), indicating that H-17 is α -oriented. Taking into consideration the analyses of the other NMR data, we can propose the whole structure of 48, as depicted in Figure 8 (see also Figure S11.9). The EIMS spectrum of product 49 was almost identical to that of 48 (see Figures S11.1 and S12.1), indicating that a skeleton similar to that of 48 is assignable for 49. Observation of the fragment ion m/z 125 further supported the seven-membered oxepane ring. This model was again supported by the detailed 2D NMR analyses of 49 (Figure S12.2–S12.9). Me-21 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.27, s, 3H)



Figure 8. Two-dimensional NMR analyses for proposing the structure of product 48 or 49 dissolved in C_6D_6 . Products 48 and 49 are diastereomers, with their configurations at C-20 being opposite. The chemical shifts of product 48 are shown.

had clear HMBC correlations with C-20, C-22, and C-17. The HOHAHA spectrum revealed the following ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{1}\text{H}$ spincoupling network: H-24/Me-26/H-23/H-22/H-27, as observed in the HOHAHA spectrum of **48**. The α -orientation of H-17 was confirmed by the definitive NOE between H-17 and Me-30. The detailed NMR analyses (Figure S12.9) lead to a proposed structure identical to that of **48**. Product **48** was separable from **49** by normal HPLC and GC, indicating that **48** and **49** are diastereomers. Careful comparison of the NOESY spectra of **48** and **49** revealed that the configurations of the tetracyclic dammarenyl core including H-17 stereochemistry were identical between **48** and **49**, thus demonstrating that the C-20 stereochemistry is the only difference between the two isomers. The C-20 stereochemistry for **48** and **49** remained to be elucidated.

One olefinic proton (400 MHz, C_6D_6 ; δ_H 5.76, t, 1H) and one double bond (100 MHz, C_6D_6 ; δ_C 126.7, d; 132.9, s) were found in 50 acetate, implying that the polycyclization reaction of 16 terminated at the abortive tetracyclic stage to give 50. The partial structure of $CH_2-C(Me)=CH-CH_2-CH_2$ was confirmed by the following HOHAHA correlations: H-21 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.76, t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H)/H-20 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.98, m, 1H; 2.04, m, 1H)/ H-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.65, m, 1H; 1.50, m, 1H)/Me-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.56, br s, 3H)/H-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.85, br d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H; 4.47, br d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), with this partial structure being also observed in the structure of 48 and 49. Two carbons connected to an oxygen atom were observed at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 74.1 (t) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 84.5 (d) for **50**, suggesting an ether linkage. This result is in contrast to the ethereal carbons of 49, which were at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.7 (t) and 79.6 (s). Furthermore, the multiplicity for one of the two carbons was different, and the chemical shifts of the ethereal carbons were distinct between 50 and 49. These data suggest that the structure of 50 is quite different from that of 48 or 49, which possess an oxepane ring. Me-28 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.25, s, 3H) had clear HMBC correlations with C-16 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 36.5, t), C-17 (37.2, s), C-18 (84.5, d), and C-19 (45.9, t), indicating that the D-ring of 50 is six-membered and not five-membered as in 48 and 49. These findings indicate that the E-ring of 50 is an eight-membered ring (oxocane ring), as shown in Figure 9. The strong NOE between H-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.20, d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H) and Me-27 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.921, s, 3H) verified that H-18 was arranged in the α configuration. Further detailed analyses of 2D NMR made clear the complete structure of 50, as shown in Figure 9 (see also Figure S13.9).

Article



Figure 9. Two-dimensional NMR analyses for proposing the structure of product **50** acetate dissolved in CDCl₃.

Figure 10 summarizes the structures of all the products generated by incubating substrates 10-16 with EtAS enzyme. Substrates 10–14 afforded only β -amyrin skeleton, except for 12, indicating that the Z-alkyl group at the 23 position was more strongly bound to the cyclase, as shown in Scheme 3A. Scheme 3B,C illustrates the product formation mechanism from substrates 15 and 16. Substrate 15 was folded in the chair-chair-boat-boat conformation and underwent the normal cyclization reaction according to Scheme 1, resulting in the formation of oleanyl cation 6' with CH₂OH (C-19 cation, ca. 88% yield). A hydride shift of H-18 to C-19 cation afforded the oleanyl cation 6'' with a C-18 cation. The hydroxyl group subsequently attacked this cation as a nucleophile, yielding the 6-6-6-6-6-5-fused A-B-C-D-E-F hexacyclic ring system 47. A nucleophilic attack of CH_2OH at the C-19 cation of 6' would provide a 6–6–6–6– 6-4-fused hexacyclic product. The four-membered ring has a larger steric strain, which triggered the 1,2-shift of hydride of H-18 to form a less-strained five-membered F-ring. Formation of 6" likely leads to β -amyrin homologue 2' according to Scheme 1 and Scheme 3A, but CH₂OH quickly attacked the generated cation 6" because of its highly nucleophilic nature, yielding 47. The 23Z-Me of 15 is anchored by the hydrophobic interaction, as shown in Scheme 3B, in a manner similar to that of 1 (Scheme 3A). This robust interaction could lead to the formation of the final cation 6'. However, the reaction of substrate 16 terminated at the tetracyclic dammarenyl cation 3' in a yield of ca. 19%. The Z-CH₂OH group then acted as a nucleophile to attack the C-20 cation and produce 48 and 49 [ca. 15% (7 or 8% each)]. The configuration at C-20 of 48 was opposite that of 49, indicating that Z-CH₂OH of 16 was not anchored by the 23Z recognition site; thus, the side chain of 3' underwent a free rotation to afford both the 20R- and 20S -configurations, as shown in Scheme 3C. This finding gives additional support to the essential nature of the alkyl group at 23Z position for formation of the final oleanyl cation intermediate 6'. Further cyclization of 3' led to a baccharenyl cation 4' (ca. 4%) in a small amount, which was then converted into product 50 as a result of the nucleophilic attack of Z-CH₂OH at cation 4'. Cation 4' is the intermediary cation but not the final cation 6'. Production of 50 also indicates that the chair-chair-boat-boat structure was distorted because of the lack of a 23Z-alkyl group, resulting in the termination at the intermediary stage. As shown in Figure 2, the cyclization yield of 15 was outstandingly high (ca. 88%), whereas that of 16 was at most ca. 19%. This fact provides additional evidence that the alkyl group at the 23Z position is strongly bound to the binding site through a hydrophobic interaction and is essential for the normal polycyclization cascade, but this interaction of



Figure 10. Structures of products 42-50 obtained from the incubation experiments with substrates 10-16. The carbon numbering systems are also shown here to aid in interpretation of the NMR data.

23E-alky moiety is not robust. We propose that the robust interaction would be caused by $CH-\pi$ interaction. Our experimental results clearly demonstrated that this robust interaction could sufficiently direct the enzymatic reaction toward pentacyclization, although a binding energy for ${\rm CH}/\pi$ affinity may be considered to be weak. We constructed sitedirected mutants targeting the amino acids surrounding the terminus of substrate 1 (see Figure S14). The following residues were mutated into Ala residue: F124, F125, W219, C260, R261, V263, S412, F413, F552, I555, and C732. None of the variants produced tetracyclic products but instead produced only β -amyrin (Figure S15). Therefore, these residues are not responsible for the interaction with Me-30 (23Z-Me) on 1. We have reported that the site-directed mutants of F728 afforded tetracyclic compounds along with pentacyclic products.^{20,21} Kushiro et al. revealed that the residues of W259 and Y261 from *Panax ginseng* β -amyrin synthase stabilize the tetracyclic and the pentacyclic cation intermediates via cation/ π interaction.²² The W259 and Y216 from P. ginseng correspond to W257 and Y259 residues from EtAS, respectively, in the amino acid alignment. The functions of W257 and Y259 residues from EtAS identified by our experiments were consistent with those of W259 and Y261 reported by Kushiro et al.²² These three aromatic residues-F728, W258, and Y259— (independently or together) may interact with Me-30 of 1 via CH/π interaction (perpendicular to the CH_3), as depicted in Scheme 3A, in addition to their function in stabilizing the intermediary cation(s) through cation/ π interactions (horizontal to the planar sp² carbocation). Further studies may be necessary to validate this assumption.

CONCLUSION

Through this investigation, we clarified that β -amyrin synthase tolerates ethyl substituents at position 23 in the substrate, as evidenced by the high yields of the corresponding products.

The hydrophobic interaction of the Z-alkyl group with the enzyme is more critical to forming the normal folding architecture than that of the E-alkyl group. The contribution of the hydrophobic interaction with the 23E-alkyl group is much less than that of the 23Z-alkyl group. The importance of the hydrophobic interaction with the 23Z-alkyl group was further established by comparing the cyclization reactions of 15 and 16, which possess a hydrophilic CH₂OH group. Compounds structurally similar 47 have been reported, such as 13,28-epoxyoleanan-3 β -ol²³ and 19,28-epoxyoleanan-3 β -ol;²⁴ however, the 19,30-epoxy derivative 47 had not been found before the present study. Products 48-50 are also new compounds; no similar structures are found in SciFinder (American Chemical Sociey, https://scifinder.cas.org/ scifinder/view/scifinder/scifinderExplore.jsf). This study on the substrate analogues bearing a hydroxyl group has provided deep insight into the cyclization mechanism in addition to the creation of new compounds 47-50.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Analytical Methods. NMR spectra were recorded at 600 or 400 MHz in the solution of CDCl_3 or C_6D_6 . The chemical shifts (δ) are given in parts per million relative to the residual solvent peak as the internal reference ($\delta_{\rm H}$ = 7.26 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ = 77.0 ppm for CDCl₃; $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 7.28 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ = 128.0 ppm for C_6D_6 ; $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 2.04 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ = 29.80 ppm for acetone- d_6). GC analyses were performed by fitting a flame ionization detector and a nonpolar DB-1 capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm); injection temp = 300 °C; column temp = 235–250 °C (3 °C/min) and 250–270 °C (0.5 °C/min); flow rate (He gas) = 1.50 mL/min. Several peaks of substrates 1 and 10–16 indicate that the thermal degradation of these substrates occurred during the GC analyses. GC/MS spectra were obtained under electronic impact at 70 eV with a Zebron ZB-5 ms capillary column (0.25 mm × 30 m), with the oven temperature being increased from 220 to 270 °C (3 °C/min). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a HRMS-TOF spectrometer.

Scheme 3. Cyclization Pathway of Substrates 1, 15, and 16 by the Cyclase Enzyme.^a



"Robust binding of 23Z-Me of oxidosqualene 1 to the cyclase enzyme but with loose binding of 23E-Me (A). Reaction pathway of substrates 15 and 16 to produce 47-50 (B,C).

Synthetic Experiments of Substrate Analogues 10–16. As the general synthetic method, C_{27} -aldehyde 17 was subjected to Wittig reactions using reagents 18–21. The starting material 17 was prepared as follows. Squalene was converted into the bromohydrin derivative by NBS treatment, the reaction of which was conducted in H₂O/THF solution. Subsequently, the epoxide ring was formed in the basic condition using K₂CO₃, followed by H₅IO₆ treatment, affording 17.¹⁷

flask, triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate 18 (1026.7 mg, 6.15 mmol) was dissolved in Et₂O (130 mL) and cooled to 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere. NaH (40% oil, 273.7 mg) was added bit-by-bit into the ethereal solution. Then, the solution was chilled to -40 °C and stirred for 15 min. Aldehyde 17 (472.9 mg, 1.23 mmol) dissolved in a small amount of Et₂O was added step-by-step and stirred for 30 min. The reaction was monitored by SiO₂ TLC. To the reaction mixture was added saturated aq NaCl, and the lipophilic materials were extracted with hexane (3×), which was dried over Na₂SO₄. The dried hexane was

Preparations of 22 and 23. Esters **22** and **23** were produced by a Wittig-Horner reaction of **17** with NaH base. In the three-necked

6666

evaporated under reduced pressure, yielding a mixture of **22** and **23** (571.0 mg, 96.4% yield). The E/Z mixture was separated by SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:5). The repeated chromatography afforded 85.3 mg of **22** and 100.2 mg of **23** in a pure state. Yet, an unseparated fraction was available in a yield of 380 mg.

Compound **22**: EIMS; m/z 482 (M⁺, 0.8%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.60 (s, 15H, 5 × Me), 1.68 (s, 3H, Me), 2.06–1.80 (m, 9 × CH₂), 2.25 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.13–5.05 (5H, m), 5.82 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.7 (q), 14.3 (q),15.8 (q), 15.98(q), 16.00 (q, 3C), 17.7 (q), 25.7 (q), 26.6 (t, 2C), 27.5 (t), 27.9 (t), 28.2 (t, 2C), 39.2 (t), 39.7 (t, 3C), 59.9(t), 124.22(d), 124.24 (d), 124.26 (d), 124.36 (d), 124.9 (d), 131.2 (s), 133.6 (s), 134.1 (s), 134.9 (s), 135.0 (s), 135.1 (s), 140.0 (d), 168.3 (s). In the NOESY spectrum, a clear NOE was observed between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.02 and 5.82, indicating that Me of Et at C-23 is oriented in a *E*-configuration and that CO₂Et group was in *Z*-configuration.

Compound **23**: EIMS; m/z 482 (M⁺, 6%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.00 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H, 3 × Me), 1.61 (s, 3H, Me), 1.68 (s, 3H), 2.10–1.90 (m, 10 × CH₂), 2.02 (m, 4H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.13–5.05 (m, 5H), 6.70 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.9 (q),14.3 (q), 16.0 (q, 4Me),17.7 (q), 20.1 (t), 25.7 (q), 26.7 (t, 2C), 26.8 (t), 27.1 (t), 28.3 (t, 2C), 38.6 (t), 39.6 (t), 39.70 (t), 39.74 (t), 60.2 (t), 124.2 (d, 2 × C), 124.4 (d, 2 × C), 125.1 (d), 131.2 (s), 133.8 (s), 133.95 (s), 134.89 (s), 134.96 (s), 135.1 (s), 141.5 (d), 167.9 (s).

Preparations of 24 and 25. A solution of triphenylphosphine (17.06 g, 65.04 mmol) and 1-bromopropane dissolved in toluene (50 mL) was refluxed for 12 h. The precipitated phosphonium salts were collected by filtration and washed with toluene $(3\times)$ to remove unreacted materials. Salt 19 thus collected was dried over P2O5 under reduced pressure, giving 7.47 g (47.7% yield). Aldehyde 17 was subjected to a Wittig reaction with 19 in n-BuLi basic condition under N_2 atmosphere condition. Salt 19 (972.9 mg) was suspended in Et₂O (50 mL) at 0 °C in a three-necked flask, to which n-BuLi (1.58 mol/L, 3.20 mL) was added in a dropwise, and the solution was gradually changed into orange. The temperature was cooled to -78 °C and stirred for 15 min. Subsequently, an ethereal solution of 17 (193.9 mg, 0.505 mmol) was bit-by-bit added into the orange-colored solution. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aq NaCl, and the lipophilic materials were extracted with hexane, which were then dried over Na₂SO₄, followed by purification with a SiO₂ column (hexane/AcOEt = 100:10). The purified fraction contained a mixture of E- and Zisomers. Separation of the E- and Z-isomers (24 and 25), which were produced in the presence of n-BuLi, was difficult even with the AgNO₃-impregnated SiO₂ column. Thus, the NMR data of compound 24 are not given. However, the separation of the epoxides 12 and 13 (E- and Z-isomers) succeeded by 5% AgNO₃-SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:5-100:50, stepwise elution). Bromohydrins 31 and 32 were produced by the reaction of NBS, followed by treatment of K2CO3, affording a mixture of 12 and 13 (NMR data of each product are described below). Argentation chromatography allowed the separation of 12 and 13. The Wittig reaction of 21 with 17 was conducted using NaN(SiMe₃)₂ as base. Under the basic condition, Z-isomer 25 only was selectively produced. Phosphonium bromide 19 (649.5 mg, 1.68 mmol) was suspended in Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen gas in a three-necked flask. A solution of NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (1.01 mol/L, 2.3 mL) was slowly added, giving a clear orange solution. The reaction flask was cooled to -78 °C and stirred for 15 min. To the solution was slowly added 17 (64.7 mg, 0.169 mmol), and the reaction was continued for an additional 30 min. To the flask was added saturated aq NaCl, and the product was extracted with hexane, which was dried over Na2SO4. Pure 25 was obtained by SiO₂ column chromatography using a solvent of hexane/ EtOAc = 100/1 (47 mg, yield 68%).

Compound **25**: EIMS m/z 410 (M⁺, 1%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.958 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (s, 15H, 5Me), 1.68 (s, 3H), 2.15–1.96 (m, 11CH₂), 5.15–5.00 (m, 5H), 5.37–5.26 (m, 2H); ¹³C

NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.4 (q), 15.95 (q), 15.99 (q), 16.02 (q, 2C), 17.7 (q), 20.5 (t), 25.7 (q), 25.8 (t), 26.63 (q) 26.66 (t), 26.8 (t), 28.3 (t, 2C), 39.67 (t, 2C), 39.74 (t, 2C), 124.27 (d), 124.30 (d), 124.34 (d), 124.41 (d), 124.48 (d), 128.8 (d), 131.2(s), 131.6 (d), 134.9 (s), 135.05 (s), 135.09 (s).

Preparation of 26. The Wittig reaction of 17 with $[Ph_3P-CH_2C_3H_7]^*Br^-$ 20 was conducted in the presence of NaN(SiMe₃)₂, selectively affording 31 with Z-configuration, and no contamination of the *E*-configuration was found. To the suspension of 20 (1.049 g, 2.63 mmol) in Et₂O was added 3.57 mL of NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (1.10 mol/L) drop-by-drop, whereby the suspension was changed into the solution colored with orange. These treatments were done at 0 °C under the atmosphere of N₂ gas. Next, the temperature was cooled at -78 °C. To the solution was added 17 (101.0 mg, 0.263 mmol), dissolved in a small amount of Et₂O, slowly during stirring. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aq NaCl solution to quench this reaction, followed by extraction of product with hexane (3×). The hexane extract was dried over Na₂SO₄. Product 26 was purified by a SiO₂ column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (100:1) as eluent, yielding 68.2 mg (61.1%).

Compound **26**: EIMS m/z 496 (M⁺, 4%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.904 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.35 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.60 (s, 15H, 5Me), 1.68 (s, 3H), 2.2–1.9 (m, 22H), 5.12 (m, 5H), 5.36 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.3 (q), 15.96 (q), 15.99 (q), 16.02 (q), 17.7 (q), 22.9 (t), 25.7 (q), 25.9 (t), 26.64 (t), 26.66 (t), 26.77 (t), 28.3 (t, 2C), 29.3 (t), 39.65 (t), 39.74 (t, 3C), 124.27 (d), 124.30 (d), 124.33 (d), 124.41 (d), 124.46 (d), 129.59 (d), 129.69 (d), 131.2 (s), 134.6 (s), 134.89 (s), 135.05 (s), 135.09 (s).

Preparations of 27 and 28. The synthetic protocols were the same as those of **22** and **23**. Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)propanoate **21** (257.0 mg, 1.02 mmol) was suspended in Et₂O (130 mL) at 0 °C under N₂ gas atmosphere. NaH (40% oil, 61.2 mg, 1.53 mmol) was slowly added to the suspension. The reaction flask was cooled to -40 °C and stirred for 15 min. To the solution was added aldehyde **17**, dissolved in a small amount of Et₂O, bit-by-bit. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aq NaCl, and then the product was extracted with hexane, which was dried over Na₂SO₄. The hexane extract was dried under reduced pressure. The residue (302.0 mg, yield 94.9%) was applied to a SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:5) to separate *E*- and *Z*-isomers **27** and **28**. Isolation yields of **27** and **28** were 230.1 mg (72.3%) and 52.2 mg (25.8%), respectively.

Compound **27**: EIMS m/z 468 (M⁺, 2%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 2.15–1.96 (m, 18H), 2.26 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.15–5.00 (m, 5H), 6.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 12.3 (q), 14.3 (q), 15.95 (q), 15.98 (q), 16.02 (q, 2C), 17.7 (q), 25.67 (q), 26.7 (t, 2C), 26.8 (t), 27.4 (t), 28.3 (t, 2C), 38.3 (t), 39.6 (t), 39.72 (t), 39.74 (t), 60.3 (t), 124.3 (d, 2C), 124.4 (d, 2C), 125.1 (d), 127.7 (s), 131.2 (s), 133.8 (s), 134.9 (s), 134.95 (s), 135.1 (s), 141.9 (d), 168.2 (s).

Compound **28**: EIMS m/z 468 (M⁺, 2%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (s, 15H, 5Me), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H, s), 2.2–1.9 (m, 18H), 2.55 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.15–5.00 (m, 5H), 5.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.5 (q),16.0 (q), 16.22 (q), 16.26 (q, 2C), 17.9 (q), 20.9 (q), 25.9 (q), 26.9 (t, 2C), 27.0 (t), 28.2 (t), 28.5 (t, 2C), 39.4 (t), 39.95 (t), 40.0 (t, 2C), 60.2 (t), 124.47 (d), 124.49 (d), 124.53 (d), 124.61 (d), 124.75 (d), 127.3 (s), 131.5 (s), 134.4 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.27 (s), 135.33 (s), 142.8 (d), 168.3 (s).

Preparations of 29–35. The protocols for preparing the bromohydrin derivatives **29–35** were essentially the same between each of them. As a typical example, the experiments for the synthesis of **34** from **27** are described below. A solution of **27** (90 mg, 0.19 mmol), dissolved in THF (12 mL), was cooled at 0 °C. To the solution was added water until the solution became cloudy. Next, a small amount of THF was added until the transparent solution was formed. To the solution was monitored by SiO₂ TLC. After 30 min, saturated aq NaCl was added, and the crude product and unreacted material **27** were

extracted with hexane (3×), which was dried over Na_2SO_4 . A SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:30) gave pure 34 (51.6 mg, yield 48.0%).

NMR data of 29-35 are given below.

Compound **29**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 2.16–1.90 (m, 18H), 2.33–2.20 (m, 3H), 2.50 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (br d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.23–5.10 (m, 4H), 5.82 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

Compound **30**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.996 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.597 (s, 9H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 2.15–1.89 (m, 16H), 3.97 (br d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.23–5.10 (m, 4H), 6.94 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

Compound **31**: The NMR data are not given because a mixture of **27** and **28** was produced by the reaction of **19** and **21** in the presence of *n*-BuLi. A mixture of **27** and **28** was used for the next reaction without separation. The NBS treatment afforded a mixture of **36** and **37**. The epoxides **12** and **13** only, which were produced from **36** and **37**, were separated by $AgNO_3$ -SiO₂ column chromatography, as described above.

Compound 32: This compound was synthesized from Z-isomer 25, which was selectively produced from the reaction of 17 and 19 in the presence of NaN(SiMe₃)₂ as described above; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.955 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.78 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.18 (m, 20H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 3.98 (br d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22–5.01 (m, 4H), 5.33 (m, 2H).

Compound **33**: The ¹H NMR of **33** was not measured, but the epoxide structure of **14** synthesized from **33** was confirmed by ¹H and 13 C NMR data, which are described below.

Compound **34**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 9H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 2.21–1.94 (m, 18H), 2.35–2.21 (m, 3H), 3.97 (br d, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.17 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.23–5.12 (m, 4H), 6.74 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

Compound **35**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 2.15–1.93 (m, 18H), 2.54 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (br d, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.19 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.20–5.12 (m, 4H), 5.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.3 (q), 15.8 (q, 3C), 16.0 (q), 16.1 (q), 20.6 (q), 25.8 (q), 26.6 (t), 26.7 (t), 28.0 (t), 28.3 (t, 2C), 32.2 (t), 38.2 (t), 39.2 (t), 39.6 (t), 39.7 (t), 60.1 (t), 70.9 (s), 72.4 (d), 124.3 (d), 124.4 (d), 124.9 (d), 126.0 (d), 127.1 (s), 132.9 (s),134.2 (s), 134.9 (s), 135.1 (s), 142.5 (d), 168.2 (s).

Preparations of 36–39. The synthetic methods were essentially the same between the syntheses of **36–39**. As the typical experiment, the synthesis of **36** from **29** is described. Bromohydrin **29** (45.9 mg, 0.079 mmol) was dissolved in Et₂O (20 mL). The three-necked flask was cooled to –40 °C and was filled with N₂ gas. To the solution was added dropwise DIBAL-H reagent (1.03 M in hexane, 550 μ L). The reaction was monitored with SiO₂ TLC. After we confirmed that the starting material **29** disappeared, the reaction was terminated by adding 10 mL of a mixture of EtOAc and H₂O and further stirred for 2 h, and then 10 mL of saturated aq NH₄Cl was added and left overnight. The product was extracted with hexane, and the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄. Pure **36** was isolated by a SiO₂ column chromatography with hexane/EtOAc (100:30) in a yield of 32.2 mg (75.6%).

Compound **36**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ_{H} 1.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.78 (m, 1H), 2.17–1.93 (m, 20H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 3.98 (br d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 5.21–5.07 (m, 4H), 5.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

Compound **37**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.997 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.78 (m, 1H), 2.17–1.93 (m, 20H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 3.97 (br d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 5.22–5.08 (m, 4H), 5.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H).

Compound **38**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 12H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.78 (m, 1H), 2.15–1.94 (m, 18H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 3.97 (br d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 2H), 5.22–5.08 (m, 4H), 5.38 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H).

Compound **39**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.81 (3H, s), 2.17–1.94 (m, 18H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 3.97 (br d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 5.22–5.08 (m, 4H), 5.28 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H).

Preparations of 40 and 41. Protocols for the syntheses of 40 and 41 were essentially the same between them. Therefore, the synthetic experiment of 40 from 36 only is described here. Compound 36 (25.0 mg, 0.047 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The three-necked flask was cooled to 0 °C and filled with N₂ gas. To the flask was added Et₃N (70.7 mg, 0.70 mmol), dissolved in a small amount of CH_2Cl_2 . Next, MsCl (80.5 mg, 0.70 mmol), dissolved in a small quantity of CH_2Cl_2 was added slowly and then stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was washed successively with 5% aq HCl, saturated aq NaHCO₃, and saturated aq NaCl, all of which were cooled at 0 °C in advance. The organic layer containing mesylate 40 was dried over Na₂SO₄. Mesylates are generally labile, thus the reaction product was used for the next reaction without purification. Therefore, we did not measure the ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction products 40 and 41.

Preparations of 10 and 11. The protocols were identical between them. The MeOH solution (10 mL) of 49 (ca. 10 mg) was added bitby-bit into dry MeOH solution of K₂CO₃ (10 mg/10 mL). After 30 min, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aq NaCl, and the epoxide product was extracted with hexane $(3\times)$. The hexane extract was subjected to a short SiO_2 column (hexane/EtOAc = 100:10) to remove just the high-polar impurities found at the origin of TLC and then employed for the next reaction without further purification. The epoxide (ca. 10 mg) thus obtained was subjected to reduction with LiBEt₃H to convert the -CH₂OMs into -CH₃. The epoxide was dissolved in Et₂O (10 mg/10 mL). Under the atmosphere of N_2 gas, the THF solution of LiBEt₃H (50 μ L, 1.0 M) was added in a small portion. The reaction was monitored with TLC. After 2 h, the mesylate having the epoxide ring disappeared. To the reaction mixture was added saturated aq NaCl, followed by extraction with hexane $(3\times)$. The hexane extract was dried over Na₂SO₄. Complete purification was done by normal-phase HPLC (hexane/THF = 100:0.8, Inertsil, GL Science), giving ca. 7.0 mg of 10.

Compound 10: EIMS m/z 440 (M^+ , 0.6%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O 440.40181; found 440.40187; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.07 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 9H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 2.33–2.10 (m, 22H), 2.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.44–5.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.1 (q), 16.05 (q), 16.13 (q), 16.15 (q, 2C), 18.9 (q), 25.0 (q, 2C), 27.10 (t), 27.14 (t), 28.1 (t), 28.8 (t, 3C), 32.8 (t), 36.9 (t), 40.1 (t), 40.2 (t, 2C), 57.4 (s), 63.5 (d), 123.4 (d), 124.8 (d), 124.9 (d), 125.2 (d), 134.4 (s), 135.0 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.2 (s), 136.7 (s).

Compound 11: EIMS m/z 440 (M⁺, 0.3%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O 440.40181; found 440.40206; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.07 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 2.34–2.10 (m, 22H), 2.70 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.45–5.28 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.0 (q), 16.0 (q), 16.12 (q), 16.14 (q, 2C), 18.9 (q), 23.0 (q), 24.9 (q), 25.1 (t), 26.8 (t), 27.07 (t), 27.10 (t), 28.0 (t), 28.7 (t, 2C), 36.8 (t), 40.1 (t), 40.2 (t), 40.5 (t), 57.3 (s), 63.5 (d), 124.6 (d), 124.8 (d, 2C), 124.9 (d), 125.1 (d), 134.4 (s), 134.9 (s), 135.0 (s), 135.2 (s), 136.9 (s).

Preparations of 12–16. The synthetic methods of these epoxide compounds from the bromohydrin precursors were identical. The experiment for the synthesis of only **15** is described here. The starting material **38** (12.0 mg, 0.023 mmol) was dissolved in a small amount of MeOH. This solution was added into the methanolic suspension (15 mg/10 mL) of K₂CO₃ and stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was added into saturated aq NaCl solution, and the lipophilic materials were extracted with hexane (3×). Product **15** was isolated by a SiO₂ column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 100:5) in a yield of 8.9 mg (87.4% yield).

Compound 12: EIMS m/z 426 (M⁺, 0.6%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O 426.38617; found 426.38723; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 6H), 2.35–2.08 (m, 22H), 2.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.45–5.35 (m, 4H), 5.58 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz,

 $\begin{array}{l} C_6 D_6) \ \delta_C \ 14.2 \ (q), \ 16.0 \ (q), \ 16.1 \ (q), \ 16.2 \ (q, \ 2C), \ 18.9 \ (q), \ 25.0 \ (q), \\ 26.0 \ (t), \ 27.1 \ (t, \ 2C), \ 28.0 \ (t), \ 28.7 \ (t, \ 2C), \ 31.7 \ (t), \ 36.9 \ (t), \ 40.1 \ (t), \\ 40.2 \ (t, \ 2C), \ 57.3 \ (s), \ 63.5 \ (d), \ 124.82 \ (d), \ 124.84 \ (d), \ 124.91 \ (d), \\ 125.12 \ (d), \ 129.3 \ (d), \ 132.2 \ (d), \ 134.3 \ (s), \ 134.8 \ (s), \ 135.1 \ (s), \ 135.2 \ (s). \end{array}$

Compound **13**: EIMS m/z 426 (M⁺, 0.4%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O 426.38617; found 426.38625; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.05 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 6H), 2.34–2.10 (m, 22H), 2.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.45–5.34 (m, 4H), 5.56 (t-like, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.6 (q), 16.0 (q, 2C), 16.1 (q, 2C), 18.9 (q), 20.9 (t), 25.0 (q), 27.1 (t, 2C), 28.0 (t), 28.7 (t, 2C), 36.9 (t), 40.09 (t), 40.13 (t), 40.2 (t), 57.3 (s), 63.5 (d), 124.8 (d), 124.9 (d), 125.0 (d), 125.1 (d), 129.1 (d), 131.8 (d), 134.3 (s), 134.7 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.15 (s).

Compound 14: EIMS m/z 440 (M⁺, 0.4%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O 440.40182; found 440.40313; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.989 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.45 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 6H), 2.35–2.08 (m, 22H), 2.67 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.44–5.32 (m, 4H), 5.60–5.50 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.9 (q), 16.1 (q), 16.2 (q, 3C), 18.9 (q), 23.2 (t), 25.0 (q), 26.3 (t), 27.1 (t, 2C), 28.0 (t), 28.7 (q, 2C), 29.7 (t), 36.9 (t), 40.1 (t), 40.13 (t), 40.19 (t), 57.3 (s), 63.5 (d), 124.85 (d), 124.92 (d), 124.99 (d), 125.14 (d), 129.9 (d, 2C), 134.4 (s), 134.7 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.2 (s).

Compound 15: EIMS m/z 424 (M⁺ – H₂O, 0.8%), 442 (M⁺, 0.2%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₂ 442.38018; found 440.38216; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.737 (s, 3H), 1.743 (s, 3H), 2.35–2.13 (m, 20H), 2.70 (t, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.93 (s, 2H), 5.53–5.35 (m, SH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.6 (q), 16.05 (q), 16.09 (q), 16.16 (q, 2C), 18.9 (q), 24.9 (q), 26.7 (t), 27.1 (t, 2C), 28.0 (t) 28.7 (t, 2C), 36.8 (t), 39.9 (t), 40.1 (t), 40.2 (t), 57.4 (s), 63.6 (d), 68.7 (t), 124.88 (d), 124.92, 124.94 (d), 125.2 (d), 125.3 (d), 134.8 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.2 (s), 135.4 (s), 135.5 (s).

Compound **16**: EIMS m/z 424 (M⁺ – H₂O, 1%), 442 (M⁺, 0.1%); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}O_2$ 442.38018; found 440.38205; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ_H 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 6H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 2.33–2.10 (m, 20H), 2.69 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 5.40–5.33 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6) δ_C 16.05 (q), 16.08 (q), 16.14 (q, 2C), 18.9 (q), 21.4 (q), 24.94 (q), 26.5 (t), 27.1 (t, 2C), 28.0 (t), 28.7 (t, 2C), 36.8 (t), 40.1 (t), 40.2 (t), 40.3 (t), 57.4 (s), 61.4 (t), 63.6 (d), 124.89 (d), 124.92 (d), 125.15 (d, 2C), 127.3 (d), 134.4 (s), 134.7 (s), 135.1 (s), 135.14 (s), 135.36 (s).

Incubation Experiments of Analogues 10–16. The purification of EtAS and the incubation conditions were carried out according to the published protocol.⁹ The detailed incubation conditions and GC conditions for the product profiles are described in Figure S3.

Spectroscopic Data for Products 42–50. Product 42: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.730 (br d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.764 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Me-31), 0.781 (s, 3H, Me-29), 0.789 (s, 3H, Me-24), 0.819 (s, 3H, Me-28), 0.820 (m, 1H, H-16), 0.934 (s, 3H, Me-25), 0.960 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.961 (s, 3H, Me-26), 0.970 (m, 1H, H-15), 0.995 (s, 3H, Me-23), 1.11 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.14 (s, 3H, Me-27), 1.16 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.22 (m, 2H, H-21), 1.28 (m, 1H, H-30), 1.33 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.35 (m, 1H, H-30), 1.38 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.39 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.50 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.55 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.58 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.59 (m, 2H, H-2), 1.62 (m, 1H, H-1),1.76 (dd, J = 14.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-15), 1.85 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.89 (m, 1H, H-18), 2.01 (ddd, J = 13.0, 13.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-16), 3.22 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.17 (br s, 1H); 13 C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (q, C-31), 15.4 (q, C-24), 15.5 (q, C-25), 16.8 (q, C-26), 18.4 (t, C-6), 23.5 (t, C-11), 25.9 (q, C-27), 26.2 (t, C-15), 27.18 (t, C-30), 27.26 (t, C-2), 27.29 (t, C-16), 28.1 (q, C-23), 28.4 (q, C-28), 29.0 (q, C-29), 32.3 (s, C-17), 32.7 (t, C-7), 32.8 (t, C-21), 33.3 (s, C-20), 36.6 (t, C-1), 37.0 (s, C-10), 38.6 (t, C-22), 38.8 (s, C-4), 39.8 (s, C-8), 41.8 (s, C-14), 44.6 (t, C-19), 46.7 (d, C-18), 47.7 (d, C-9), 55.2 (d, C-5), 79.0 (d, C-3), 121.7 (d, C-12), 145.3 (s, C-13). Assignment of C-2 and C-16, that of C-7 and C-21, that of C-8 and C-14, and that of C-24 and C-25 may

be exchangeable because the chemical shifts are very similar: MS (EI) m/z 203 (75%), 217 (22%), 232 (100%), 411 (5%), 440 (5%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O 440.40181; found 440.40267; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = +97.0$ (c = 0.115, CHCl₃); solid, mp 191–193 °C.

Product 43: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.740 (br d, I = 12.0Hz, H-5), 0.792 (s, 3H, Me-24), 0.806 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H, Me-31), 0.82 (m, 1H, H-16), 0.827 (s, 3H, Me-30), 0.834 (s, 3H, Me-28), 0.939 (s, 3H, Me-25), 0.95 (m, 1H, H-15), 0.97 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.970 (s, 3H, Me-26), 0.998 (s, 3H, Me-23), 1.00 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.10 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.13 (s, 3H, Me-27), 1.17 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, H-29), 1.24 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.28 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.34 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.41 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.42 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.50 (m, 1H, H-15), 1.51 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.56 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.60 (m, 2H, H-2), 1.62 (m, 2H, H-1, H-19), 1.62 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.87 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.97 (m, 1H, H-18), 1.98 (ddd, J = 12.8, 12.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-16), 3.22 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.18 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-12); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.67 (q, C-31), 15.5 (q, C-25), 15.6 (q, C-24), 16.8 (q, C-26), 18.4 (t, C-6), 20.6 (q, C-30), 23.5 (t, C-11), 26.0 (q, C-27), 26.2 (t, C-15), 27.0 (t, C-16), 27.2 (t, C-2), 28.1 (q, C-23), 28.4 (q, C-28), 32.4 (t, C-21), 32.7 (t, C-7), 32.9 (s, C-17), 33.6 (s, C-20), 36.8 (t, C-22), 36.9 (s, C10), 38.5 (t, C-29), 38.6 (t, C-1), 38.8 (s, C-4), 39.8 (s, C-8), 41.7 (s, C-14), 44.7 (t, C-19), 46.9 (d, C-18), 47.6 (d, C-9), 55.2 (d, C-5), 79.0 (d, C-3), 121.7 (d, C-12), 145.3 (d, C-13). Assignment of C-8 and C-14 and that of C-24 and C-25 may be exchangeable because their chemical shifts are very similar: MS (EI) m/z 203 (48%), 217 (26%), 232 (100%), 411 (5%), 440 (M⁺, 2%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₁H₅₂O 440.40181; found 440.40218; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +96.25$ $(c = 0.2312, \text{ CHCl}_3);$ solid, mp: 190–195 °C.

Product **44** *Acetate:* ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.881 (br d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.94 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.969 (s, 3H, Me-25), 1.00 (m, H-16), 1.05 (s, 6H, Me-23, Me-24), 1.05 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, Me-30), 1.08 (s, 3H, Me-28), 1.12 (m, H-15), 1.13 (s, 3H, Me-26), 1.33 (s, 3H, Me-27), 1.33 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.42 (m, 2H, H-6, H-7), 1.50 (m, 2H, H-1, H-29), 1.55 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.57 (m, 2H, H-6, H-19), 1.58 (m, 2H, H-7, H-22), 1.61 (m, 1H, H-29), 1.64 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.71 (m, 2H, H-2, H-20), 1.82 (m, 2H, H-2, H-21), 1.88 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.89 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.93 (m, 1H, H-15), 2.17 (m, 1H, H-19), 2.18 (m, 1H, H-18), 2.24 (m, 1H, H-16), 4.84 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-12); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 12.6 (q, C-30), 15.7 (q, C-25), 17.0 (q, 2C, C-24, C-26), 18.6 (t, C-6), 20.8 (q, CH₃CO), 23.6 (t, C-29), 23.8 (t, C-2), 23.9 (t, C-11), 25.5 (t, C-21), 26.2 (q, C-27), 26.6 (t, C-15), 27.6 (t, C-16), 28.2 (q, C-23), 28.8 (q, C-28), 32.9 (t, C-7), 33.2 (s, C-17), 35.8 (t, C-22), 35.9 (d, C-20), 37.0 (s, C-10), 37.3 (t, C-19), 37.9 (s, C-4), 38.4 (t, C-1), 40.1 (s, C-8), 42.0 (s, C-14), 45.8 (d, C-18), 47.8 (d, C-9), 55.6 (d, C-5), 80.6 (d, C-3), 122.1 (d, C-12), 145.4 (s, C-13), 169.9 (s, CH₃CO); MS (EI) m/z 189 (100%), 203 (22%), 218 (84%), 468 (M⁺, 5%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/ *z* calcd for C₃₂H₅₂O₂ 468.39673; found 468.39496; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +88.3$ (*c* = 0.0115, CHCl₃); solid.

Product **45** Acetate: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.881 (br d, J =11.6 Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.960 (m, H-1), 0.975 (s, 3H, Me-25), 1.02 (m, 1H, H-16), 1.045 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, Me30), 1.049 (s, 3H, Me-24), 1.10 (s, 6H, Me-23, Me-28), 1.12 (m, 1H, H-15), 1.14 (s, 3H, Me-26), 1.20 (m, 1H, H-20), 1.22 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.35 (s, 3H, Me-27), 1.38 (m, 1H, H-29), 1.41 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.42 (m, 2H, H-6, H-7), 1.47 (m, 1H, H-29), 1.52 (m, 1H, H-1), 1.57 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.58 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.64 (m, 2H, H-21, H-22), 1.67 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.69 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.73 (m, 2H, H-19), 1.83 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.88 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.92 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.95 (m, 1H, H-15), 2.05 (dd, J = 12.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-18), 2.17 (ddd, J = 12.8, 12.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-16), 4.83 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.42 (br s, 1H, H-12); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 11.8 (q, C-30), 15.7 (q, C-25), 17.1 (q, 2C, C-24, C-26), 18.5 (t, C-6), 20.8 (q, CH₃CO), 23.8 (t, C-2), 23.9 (t, C-11), 26.2 (q, C-27), 26.7 (t, C-15), 27.6 (t, C-16), 28.2 (q, C-23), 28.8 (q, C-28), 28.9 (t, C-21), 30.3 (t, C-29), 32.9 (t, C-7), 33.3 (s, C-17), 37.0 (s, C-10), 37.9 (s, C-4), 38.4 (t, C-1), 40.1 (s, C-8), 40.8 (d, C-20), 41.3 (t, C-19), 41.9 (t, C-22), 42.0 (s, C-14), 47.8 (d, C-9), 52.1 (d, C-18), 55.5 (d, C-5), 80.5 (d, C-3), 122.2 (d, C-12), 145.6 (s, C-13), 169.9 (s, CH₃CO). The assignment of C-2 and C-11 and that of C-8 and C-14 may be exchangeable between the two carbons: MS (EI) m/z 189 (100%),

203 (25%), 218 (82%), 468 (M⁺, 4%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{32}H_{52}O_2$ 468.39673; found 468.39405; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +97.92$ (c = 0.0534, CHCl₃); solid.

Product 46: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.742 (br d, I = 11.1Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.791 (s, 3H, Me-24), 0.806 (s, 3H, Me-28), 0.85 (m, 1H, H-16), 0.863 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, Me-31), 0.938 (s, 3H, Me-25), 0.971 (s, 3H, Me-26), 0.98 (m, 2H, H-1, H-15), 0.997 (s, 3H, Me-23), 1.02 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.13 (m, 1H, H-20), 1.134 (s, 3H, Me-27), 1.21 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.30 (m, 2H, Me-30), 1.35 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.37 (m, 4H, H-19, H-29), 1.40 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.43 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.44 (m, 1H, H-21), 1.51 (m, 1H, H-8), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.56 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.60 (m, 2H, H-2), 1.63 (m, 1H, H-1), 1.77 (m, 1H, H-15), 1.79 (m, 1H, H-18), 1.88 (m, 2H, H-11), 1.96 (m, 1H, H-16), 3.22 (dd, *J* = 11.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.21 (br t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-12); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.4 (q, C-31), 15.5 (q, C-25), 15.6 (q, C-24), 16.9 (q, C-26), 18.4 (t, C-6), 20.0 (t, C-30), 23.6 (t, C-11), 25.9 (q, C-27), 26.4 (t, C-15), 27.29 (t, C-16), 27.33 (t, C-2), 28.1 (q, C-23), 28.5 (q, C-28), 29.0 (t, C-21), 32.8 (t, C-7), 33.0 (s, C-17), 37.0 (s, C-10), 38.5 (d, C-20), 38.7 (d, 2C, C-1, C-3), 38.8 (s, C-4), 39.7 (t, C-29), 39.9 (s, C-8), 41.3 (t, C-19), 41.8 (s, C-14), 41.8 (t, C-22), 47.7 (d, C-9), 51.8 (d, C-18), 55.3 (d, C-5), 121.7 (d, C-12), 145.6 (s, C-13). The assignment of C-2 and C-16 and that of C-24 and C-25 may be exchangeable: MS (EI) m/z 189 (20%), 203 (25%), 217 (21%), 232 (100%), 440 (M⁺, 4%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{31}H_{52}O$ 440.40181; found 440.40177; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +97.92$ (c = 0.038, CHCl₃); solid.

Product **47**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.678 (br d, I = 11.0Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.762 (s, 3H, Me-24), 0.824 (s, 3H, Me-25), 0.91 (m, 1H, H-15), 0.914 (s, 3H, Me-27), 0.92 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.941 (s, 3H, Me-28), 0.970 (s, 3H, Me-23), 1.01 (s, 3H, Me-28), 1.05 (s, 3H, Me-26), 1.13 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.21 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.28 (m, 1H, H-12), 1.30 (m, 2H, H-7, H-16), 1.33 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.40 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.41 (m, 2H, H-7, H-21), 1.45 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.46 (m, 2H, H-19, H-21), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.55 (m, 2H, H-11, H-16), 1.57 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.62 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.68 (m, 1H, H-12), 1.71 (m, 1H, H-1), 1.72 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.73 (m, 1H, H-15), 2.12 (dd, J = 12.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H, H-13), 3.20 (dd, J = 11.2, 5.2 Hz, H-3), 3.28 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-30), 3.61 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-30); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.4 (q, C-24), 16.0 (q, C-25), 16.2 (q, 2C, C-26, C-27), 18.4 (t, C-6), 20.8 (t, C-11), 20.9 (t, C-12), 22.5 (q, C-28), 23.7 (q, C-29), 27.2 (t, C-15), 27.4 (t, C-2), 28.0 (q, C-23), 32.6 (t, C-22), 33.3 (t, C-7), 35.5 (t, C-21), 36.2 (t, C-16), 37.1 (s, C-10), 38.1 (d, C-13), 38.8 (t, C-1), 38.9 (s, C-4), 39.2 (s, C-17), 40.6 (s, C-20), 41.9 (t, C-19), 42.1 (s, C-8), 42.5 (s, C-14), 50.6 (d, C-9), 55.3 (d, C-5), 76.0 (d, C-3), 79.0 (t, C-30), 88.2 (s, C-18). The assignment of C-8 and C-14 may be exchangeable: MS (EI) m/z 189 (90%), 203 (68%), 220 (90%), 234 (38%), 411 (100%), 442 (M⁺, 18%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{30}H_{50}O_2$ 442.38108; found 442.38148; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +45.0$ (c = 0.1428, CHCl₃); solid, mp 211-214 °C.

Product **48** Acetate: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.853 (dd, J =11.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.90 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.904 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.040 (s, 3H, Me-28), 1.044 (br s, 3H, Me-30), 1.05 (br s, 3H, Me-29), 1.09 (s, 3H, Me-18), 1.22 (m, 1H, H-16), 1.29 (s, 3H, Me-21), 1.35 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.37 (m, 2H, H-7, H-9), 1.38 (m, 2H, H-15), 1.39 (m, 1H, H-12), 1.46 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.55 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.60 (m, 1H, H-1), 1.61 (br s, 3H, Me-26), 1.62 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.72 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.73(m, 1H, H-2), 1.74 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.80 (m, 1H, H-16), 1.84 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.85 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.88 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.94 (m, 1H, H-13), 2.17 (m, 1H, H-23), 2.25 (m, 1H, H-17), 2.34 (m, 1H, H-12), 2.43 (m, 1H, H-23), 3.91 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H, H-27), 4.24 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H, H-27), 4.83 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.57 (br s, 1H, H-24); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 15.8 (q, C-18), 16.4 (q, C-19), 16.6 (q, C-30), 16.8 (q, C-29), 18.5 (t, C-6), 19.1 (q, C-21), 20.8 (q, CH₃CO), 21.2 (q, C-26), 21.8 (t, C-11), 23.3 (t, C-23), 24.1 (t, C-2), 26.1 (t, C-16), 27.1 (t, C-12), 28.1 (q, C-28), 31.5 (t, C-15), 35.6 (t, C-7), 37.2 (s, C-10), 38.1 (s, C-4), 38.8 (t, C-1), 39.7 (t, C-22), 40.7 (s, C-8), 43.0 (d, C-13), 45.7 (d, C-17), 49.9 (s, C-14), 51.0 (d, C-9), 56.2 (d, C-5), 64.7 (t, C-27), 80.2 (s, C-20), 80.6 (d, C-3), 125.3 (d, C-24), 136.8 (s, C-25), 169.9 (s, CH₃CO). The assignments of C-29 and C-30 may be exchangeable: MS (EI) *m*/*z* 125 (100%), 343 (10%), 484 (M⁺, 0.4%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{32}H_{52}O_3$ 484.39165; found 484.39158; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +23.6$ (c = 0.043, C_6D_6); solid, mp 209–213 °C.

Product **49** Acetate: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.839 (dd, J =11.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 0.89 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.910 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.032 (s, 3H, Me-30), 1.036 (s, 6H, Me-18, Me-28), 1.05 (s, 3H, Me-29), 1.20 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.22 (m, 1H, H-15), 1.27 (s, 3H, Me-21), 1.30 (m, H-12), 1.34 (m, 2H, H-7, H-9), 1.47 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.50 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.56 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.58 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.59 (m, 1H, H-1), 1.61 (br s, 3H, Me-26), 1.65 (m, 1H, H-13), 1.76 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.82 (m, 3H, H-12, H-15, H-22), 1.85 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.89 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.92 (m, 1H, H-22), 1.99 (m, 2H, H-16), 2.22 (m, 1H, H-23), 2.27 (m, 1H, H-17), 2.43 (m, 1H, H-23), 4.02 (d, J = 16.4, 1H, H-27), 4.24 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H, H-27), 4.83 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.59 (br s, 1H, H-24); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 15.6 (q, C-18), 16.4 (q, C-19), 16.7 (q, C-30), 16.8 (q, C-29), 18.5 (t, C-6), 20.5 (q, C-21), 20.8 (q, CH₃CO), 21.8 (q, C-26), 21.8 (t, C-11), 23.9 (t, C-23), 24.1 (t, C-2), 25.0 (t, C-16), 27.5 (t, C-12), 28.1 (q, C-28), 31.6 (t, C-15), 35.5 (t, C-7), 37.2 (s, C-10), 38.1 (s, C-4), 38.8 (t, C-1), 40.3 (t, C-22), 40.6 (s, C-8), 43.3 (d, C-13), 47.9 (d, C-17), 50.8 (d, 2C, C-9), 50.8 (s, C-14), 56.2 (d, C-5), 64.7 (t, C-27), 79.6 (s, C-20), 80.5 (d, C-3), 125.3 (d, C-24), 136.9 (s, C-25), 169.9 (s, CH₃CO). The assignments of C-29 and C-30 may be exchangeable: MS (EI) m/z125 (100%), 343 (8%), 484 (M⁺, 0.4%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calcd for $C_{32}H_{52}O_3$ 484.39165; found 484.39160; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +16.7$ (c = 0.017, $C_6 D_6$; solid.

Product **50** *Acetate:* ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 0.776 (br d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, H-5), 0.882 (s, 3H, Me-25), 0.92 (m, 1H, H-1), 0.921 (s, 3H, 1H, Me-27), 1.01 (s, 3H, Me-23), 1.03 (s, 3H, Me-24), 1.05 (s, 3H, Me-26), 1.17 (m, 1H, H-12), 1.25 (s, 3H, Me-28), 1.32 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.35 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.38 (m, 4H, H-7, H-15, 2H for each, and m, 1H for H-6, total 5H), 1.39 (m, 2H, H-16), 1.50 (m, 1H, H-19), 1.51 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.52 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.56 (s, 3H, Me-28), 1.65 (m, 2H, H-1, H-19), 1.73 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.86 (m, 1H, H-2), 1.88 (s, CH₃CO), 1.96 (m, 1H, H-13), 1.98 (m, 1H, H-20), 2.04 (m, 1H, H-20), 2.09 (m, 1H, H-12), 3.20 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H, H-18), 3.85 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H, H-30), 4.47 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H, H-30), 4.82 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.76 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-21); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 14.9 (q, C-27), 15.9 (q, C-26), 16.5 (q, C-25), 16.8 (q, C-24), 18.0 (q, C-28), 18.4 (t, C-6), 20.8 (q, CH3CO), 21.2 (t, C-20), 21.4 (q, C-29), 22.1 (t, C-11), 24.1 (t, C-2), 25.2 (t, C-12), 28.1 (q, C-23), 33.9 (t, C-7), 36.5 (t, 2C, C-15, C-16), 37.1 (s, C-10), 37.2 (s, C-17), 38.0 (s, C-4), 38.6 (t, C-1), 40.9 (s, C-8), 41.0 (d, C-13), 42.6 (s, C-14), 45.9 (t, C-19), 50.9 (d, C-9), 55.8 (d, C-5), 74.1 (t, C-30), 80.5(d, C-3), 84.5 (d, C-18), 126.7 (d, C-21), 132.9 (s, C-22), 169.9 (s, CH₃CO); MS (EI) m/z 189 (20%), 402 (100%), 424 (0.9%), 469 (0.03%), 484 (M⁺, 0.02%); [M⁺]; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for C₃₂H₅₂O₃ 484.39165; found 484.39167; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +64.2$ (c = 0.02, C_6D_6); solid, mp 192–195 °C.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01313.

EIMS and NMR data of products 10-16, 22-39, and 42-50; product profiles (GC) for the enzymatic reactions of 10-16 and for those for the mutants targeting amino acid residues surrounding the E-ring (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: hoshitsu@agr.niigata-u.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Nos. 25450150 (C) and 18380001(B).

REFERENCES

(1) Eschenmoser, A.; Ruzicka, L.; Jeger, O.; Arigoni, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1955, 38, 1890–1904.

(2) Wendt, K. U.; Schulz, G. E.; Corey, E. J.; Liu, D. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2812–2833.

- (3) Hoshino, T.; Sato, T. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2002, 291-301.
- (4) Yoder, R. A.; Johnston, J. N. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4730-4756.

(5) Abe, I. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2007, 24, 1311-1331.
(6) Wu, T.-K.; Chang, C.-H.; Liu, Y.-T.; Wang, T.-T. Chem. Rec. 2008, 8, 302-325.

(7) Nes, W. D. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 6423-6451.

(8) Xu, R.; Fazio, G. C.; Matsuda, S. P. T. Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 261–291.

(9) Ito, R.; Masukawa, Y.; Hoshino, T. FEBS J. 2013, 280, 1267–1280.

(10) Rees, H. H.; Mercer, E. I.; Goodwin, T. W. Biochem. J. **1966**, 99, 726–734.

- (11) Rees, H. H.; Britton, G.; Goodwin, T. W. Biochem. J. **1968**, 106, 659–665.
- (12) Barton, D. H. R.; Mellows, G.; Widdowson, D. A.; Wright, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. C 1971, 1971, 1142–1148.
- (13) Suga, T.; Shishibori, T. Phytochemistry 1975, 14, 2411-2417.
- (14) Seo, S.; Tomita, Y.; Tori, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2075-2080.
- (15) Seo, S.; Yoshimura, Y.; Uomori, A.; Takeda, K.; Seto, H.; Ebizuka, Y.; Sankawa, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, *110*, 1740–1745.
- (16) Hoshino, T.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Takahashi, K.; Ito, R. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3548-3551.

(17) Hoshino, T.; Miyahara, Y.; Hanaoka, M.; Takahashi, K.; Kaneko, I. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 15769–15784.

- (18) Budzikiewicz, H.; Wilson, J. M.; Djerassi, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 85, 3688–3699.
- (19) Karliner, J.; Djerassi, C. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 1945-1956.
- (20) Ito, R.; Hashimoto, I.; Masukawa, Y.; Hoshino, T. Chem. Eur. J. **2013**, *19*, 17150–17158.
- (21) Ito, R.; Masukawa, Y.; Nakada, C.; Amari, K.; Nakano, C.; Hoshino, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 3836–3846.
- (22) Kushiro, T.; Shibuya, M.; Masuda, K.; Ebizuka, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6816-6824.
- (23) Narayanan, C. R.; Natu, A. A. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 2639–2641.
- (24) Dehaen, W.; Mashentseva, A. A.; Seitembetov, T. S. *Molecules* 2011, *16*, 2443–2466.